arXiv:1401.5040v1 [math.DG] 20 Jan 2014

Smooth approximations of the Conical Kähler-Ricci flows

Yuanqi Wang

January 21, 2014

Abstract

In this note, we show that the conical Kähler-Ricci flows introduced in [10] exist for all time $t \in [0, \infty)$ in the weak sense as in Definition 1.2. As a key ingredient of the proof, we show that a conical Kähler-Ricci flow is actually the limit of a sequence of smooth Kähler-Ricci flows.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Approximating the initial metric. **5**

3 Construction of the approximation flows and proofs of Theorem 1.9. **7**

4 Local Harnack inequality. **11**

5 Bootstrapping of the conical Kähler-Ricci flow and proofs of the main results in the introduction. **15**

1 Introduction

This is a following up note of [10]. Let M be a polarized Kähler manifold and D is a smooth divisor of the anti-canonical line bundle. Suppose the "twisted" first Chern class ($\beta \in (0, 1]$)

$$C_{1,\beta} = C_1(M) - 2\pi(1-\beta)[D]$$

has a definite sign. Suppose ω_0 is a smooth Kähler metric in $C_{1,\beta}$ (if $C_{1,\beta} > 0$) or $-C_{1,\beta}$ (if $C_{1,\beta} < 0$). One important question is to study the existence of the conical Kähler-Einstein metric in $(M, [\omega_0], (1-\beta)[D])$. A metric ω_ϕ (cohomologous to ω_0) is said to be Kähler-Einstein if

$$Ric(\omega_\phi) = \beta\omega_\phi + 2\pi(1-\beta)[D].$$

This problem has been studied carefully by many authors, for instance, [1], [2], [19], [28], [22] , [4], [14] etc. In particular, "conical Kähler-Einstein metric" is a key ingredient in the recent solution of existence problem for Kähler-Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature [7], [8], [9]. In light of these exciting development, we introduce the notion of conical Kähler-Ricci flow [10]

$$\frac{\partial \omega_g}{\partial t} = \beta \omega_g - Ric(g) + 2\pi(1-\beta)[D], \tag{1}$$

to attack the existence problem of conical Kähler-Einstein metrics and conical Kähler-Ricci solitons. With respect to the potential ϕ, equation (1) is written as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \log \frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega_0^n} + \beta\phi + h + (1-\beta)\log|S|^2, \tag{2}$$

where h is a smooth function.

In [10], we establish short time existence for (1) and (2), initiated from any (α, β)-conical Kähler metric (see Definition 1.1 for the definition of (α, β)-metrics). In this paper we want to establish the long time existence of this flow in a weaker sense.

Most of the notations in this article follows those of [10]. For the readers' convenience, we introduce some key definitions from [10] here.

Definition 1.1. (α, β) conical Kähler metric: For any $\alpha \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{\beta} - 1, 1\})$, a Kähler form ω is said to be an (α, β) conical Kähler metric on $(M, (1-\beta)D)$ if it satisfies the following conditions.

1. ω is a closed positive $(1,1)$-current over M.

2. For any point $p \in D$, there exists a holomorphic chart $\{z, u_i,\ i = 1, .., n-1\}$ such that in this chart, ω is quasi-isometric to the standard cone metric

$$\beta^2|z|^{2\beta-2}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} du_j \wedge d\bar{u}_j.$$

3. There is a $\phi \in C^{2,\alpha,\beta}(M)$ such that

$$\omega = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

The definition of the function space $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}(M)$ is due to Donaldson in [12]. One could also see section 2 of [10].

The following model metric defined in [12] satisfies the above definition.

$$\omega_D = \omega + \delta i\partial\bar{\partial}|S|^{2\beta}, \text{ where } \delta \text{ is a small enough number.}$$

Next we define the notion of weak flows, following the definitions of weak conical Kähler-Einstein metrics by Gunancia-Paun [15] and Yao [31].

Definition 1.2. A solution $\phi(t),\ t \in [0,T),\ \phi(0) = \phi_0$ to (1) is called a weak conical Kähler-Ricci flow if for all $\widetilde{T} < T$, the following hold.

- $\lim_{t\to 0} |\phi - \phi_0|_\alpha = 0$ over M, $|\phi|_{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq C(\omega_{\phi_0}, \widetilde{T})$ over M;
- $|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}|_{0,[0,\widetilde{T}]} + |tr_{\omega_D}\omega_\phi|_{0,[0,\widetilde{T}]} + |tr_{\omega_\phi}\omega_D|_{0,[0,\widetilde{T}]} \leq C(\omega_{\phi_0}, \widetilde{T})$ over $M \setminus D$.
- $\omega_\phi \geq C(\widetilde{T})\omega_D$ over $M \setminus D$.

In particular, a closed positive $(1,1)$-currrent $\omega = \omega_D + i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ is called a weak (α,β)-metric if the following holds.

- $|\phi|_\alpha \leq C$ over M, ϕ is $C^{2,\alpha}$ away from D.
- $\omega_\phi \geq C(\widetilde{T})\omega_D$ over $M \setminus D$.
- $|tr_{\omega_D}\omega_\phi|_0 + |tr_{\omega_\phi}\omega_D|_0 \leq C$ over $M \setminus D$.

Remark 1.3. From now on whenever we say "conical" or "(α,β)" we mean strong conical or strong (α,β), to differ from the weak conical cases. Notice a random weak (α,β)-metric does not aprorily possess the correct cone structure along the singular divisor.

Now we state our main theorem on the long-time existence of the weak flow.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose ω_{ϕ_0} is a (α,β)-conical metric. Then there exists a weak conical Kähler-Ricci flow $\omega_\phi(t),\ t \in [0,\infty)$ initiated from ϕ_0. Moreover, the weak flow above coincides with the strong flow given by Theorem 1.2 in [10] till whenever the strong flow exists.*

Remark 1.5. For smooth Kähler-Ricci flows, the global existence is proved by Cao [5]. For conical Kähler-Ricci flow, when $n = 1$, this is recently proved by Yin [34], and also by Mazzeo-Rubinstein-Sesum [25] with different function spaces. For higher dimensions, we believe the parabolic version of Brendle's work can solve the long time existence problem when $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 1.6. Recently, the author learned Liu and Zhang also consider the conical Kähler-Ricci flows on Fano manifolds. In [24], they also obtain long time solutions for conical Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds. Moreover, they obtain convergence of their conical Kähler-Ricci flows in some cases.

Remark 1.7. For simplicity, we only present the case with one smooth divisor. Our proof certainly works with multiple smooth divisors with no intersections and with possibly different angles along each component.

Remark 1.8. If the manifold is not Fano or the twisted first Chern has mixed sign, Theorem 1.4 still holds as long as the Kähler class remains to be fixed along the flow.

The next theorem is almost equivalent to Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.9. *Suppose ω_{ϕ_0} is a weak (α,β)-metric such that*

$$\omega_{\phi_0} \in C_{1,\beta}(M),\ F_{\phi_0} = \log \frac{|S|^{2-2\beta}\omega_{\phi_0}^n}{\omega_0^n} \in C^{2,\alpha,\beta}.$$

Then there exists a weak conical Kähler-Ricci flow $\omega_\phi(t),\ t \in [0,\infty)$ initiated from ϕ_0.

Moreover, if in addition $\phi_0 \in C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, then the weak flow above is strong and coincides with the strong flow given by Theorem 1.2 in [10] till whenever the strong flow exists.

Remark 1.10. Though Theorem 1.9 does not require the initial metric to be (strongly) (α,β), it needs the volume form of the initial metric to have $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ regularity, which is a relatively strong condition. Nevertheless, Theorem 1.13 guarantees that the conical flow (1) "smoothes" a (α,β)-metric immediately to possess maximal regularity, so the requirements of Theorem 1.9 are satisfied.

Next we state our result on the smooth approximations of the conical flows.

Theorem 1.11. *Suppose the conical flow $\omega_{\phi(t)}$ (solution to (1)) exists for $t \in [0,T)$. Then, for any $0 < a_0 < T$, the smooth flows $\omega_{\phi_\epsilon(t)}, t \in [\frac{a_0}{2}, T)$ in (15) approximate $\omega_{\phi(t)}$ in $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}$-sense away from D over $[a_0,T)$ i.e*

$$\text{For all } \delta,\ \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} |\omega_{\phi_\epsilon(t)} - \omega_{\phi(t)}|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}},[M\setminus T_\delta(D)]\times[a_0,T)} = 0.$$

Consequently, for any $t \in [a_0,T)$, $\omega_{\phi_\epsilon(t)}$ approximates $\omega_{\phi(t)}$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense.

Remark 1.12. Theorem 1.11 indicates a phenomenon which we never expected: the cone singularity structure is somehow stable even under smooth Kähler-Ricci flow. Namely, starting from the smooth metric $\omega_{\phi_\epsilon(\frac{a}{2})}$ which is close to a conical metric in Gromov-Hausdorff sense, the smooth flow $\omega_{\phi_\epsilon(t)}$ stays close to conical metrics at least up to finite time. A natural and interesting question to ask is: what's the behaviour of the flow $\omega_{\phi_\epsilon(t)}$ (solution to 15) as $t \to \infty$?

The next theorem indicates that the conical flow constructed in Theorem 1.2 in [10] has the "smoothing" property.

Theorem 1.13. *There exists a uniform C as in Definition 2.1 with the following properties. Assumptions as in Theorem 1.2 of [10]. The Ricci curvature of the (strong) CKRF satisfies*

$$|Ric| \le Ct^{-1} \quad \text{over } M \setminus D \text{ for all } t \in [0,t_0],$$

where t_0 is a lower bound of the existence time for the conical flow (1) and t_0 is small enough with respect to the initial metric ω_{ϕ_0}. Moreover, we have the following weighted Schauder estimate for $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$.

$$|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}|^{(\star)}_{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta,M\times[0,t_0]} \leq C.$$

The definition of the norm $|*|^{(\star)}_{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta,M\times[0,t_0]}$ can be found in section 2 of [10].

For the proof of Theorem 1.4, 1.9, and 1.11, we notice two beautiful recent work by Guenancia-Paun [15] and Yao [31], where they prove independently the existence of weak conical Kähler-Einstein metrics under appropriate assumptions—these approaches have been taken up by others before, the new feature in their work is that the approximation stays uniformly quasi-isometric to the approximated model metrics. While we initially plan to use ideas from Yao where we need to do local cutting and pasting, we notice the beautiful construction of global barrier function in Guenancia-Paun which fits into what we want very nicely. So we end up adopting Guenancia-Paun's method, although we believe Yao's idea can be made to work as well.

Acknowledgements: This is a side project which grows out of a joint project with Prof Xiuxiong Chen on the conical KRFs. The author would like to thank Prof Chen for kindly suggesting this project and for his constant support over years. The author also would like to thank Chengjian Yao for related discussions.

2 Approximating the initial metric.

We would like to make following convention on the constants in this paper, similar to that of [10].

Definition 2.1. *Without further notice, the "C" in each estimate means a constant depending on the dimension n, the angle β, the background objects $(M, \omega_0, L, h, D, \omega_D)$, the α (and $\acute{\alpha}$ if any) in the same estimate or in the corresponding theorem (proposition, corollary, lemma), and finally the time T. Moreover, the "C" in different places might be different.*

To construct the approximation flows, the first step is to construct an approximation of the initial metric in Theorem 1.9. From now on we will repeatedly apply Theorem 4.1.

The initial metric $\omega_{\phi(0)}$ satisfies

$$\omega^n_{\phi(0)} = \frac{e^{F(0)}}{|S|^{2-2\beta}}\omega^n_0. \tag{3}$$

We try to smooth $F(0)$ first. We consider the reference metric ω_ϵ, introduced in section 3.1 of [15] as

$$\omega_\epsilon = \omega_0 + \frac{1}{N} i\partial\bar{\partial}\chi_\beta(\epsilon + |S|^2), \text{ where } \chi_\beta(\epsilon + y) = \beta \int_0^y \frac{(\epsilon + x)^\beta - \epsilon^\beta}{x} dx \quad (4)$$

and N is a big enough number. As in [15], We also denote

$$\Psi_{\epsilon,\rho} = \chi_\rho(\epsilon + |S|^2).$$

In application we always let ρ to be small with respect to β, as in [15].

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose ϕ is a $C^{1,1,\beta}$ solution to the following equation*

$$\omega_\phi^n = \frac{e^F}{|S|^{2-2\beta}} \omega_0^n.$$

Suppose $F \in C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$. Then there exists an approximation sequence of $C^{4,\alpha'}$ functions $\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon$ such that

- $|\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon|_{\alpha'} \leq C_F$,
- $\frac{1}{C_F}\omega_\epsilon \leq \omega_{\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon} \leq C_F \omega_\epsilon$,
- $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} |\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon - \phi|_{\alpha'} = 0$,

where C_F only depends on $|F|_{2,\alpha,\beta}$ and the data in Definition (2.1).

Proof. of Theorem 2.2: We smooth F out by solving the following equation

$$\Delta_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon = \Delta_{\omega_D} F + a_\epsilon, \quad (5)$$

a_ϵ is chosen such that

$$\int_M (\Delta_{\omega_D} F + a_\epsilon)\omega_\epsilon^n = 0, \quad (6)$$

and F_ϵ is normalized so that

$$\int_M \frac{e^{F_\epsilon}}{(|S|^2 + \epsilon)^{1-\beta}} \omega_0^n = 1. \quad (7)$$

Notice that (6) directly implies $\lim_{\epsilon \to} a_\epsilon = 0$. Using the ϵ-independent bounds on the global Sobolev and Poincare constants in Remark 4.4, we deduce the follow L^∞ bound via Moser's iteration.

$$|F_\epsilon|_{L^\infty} \leq C. \quad (8)$$

Using (8) and the elliptic Harnack-inequality in Theorem 4.1, we estimate

$$[F_\epsilon]_{\underline{\alpha}} \leq C. \quad (9)$$

Therefore, F_ϵ subconverge to some F_∞ in $C^{\alpha'}$, $\alpha' < \underline{\alpha}$. Moreover

$$\Delta_\omega F_\infty = \Delta_\omega F \text{ over } M \setminus D. \tag{10}$$

Since $F_\infty \in C^{\alpha'}$, then by Jeffres' trick in [18], we have $F_\infty = F$. The advantage of smoothing F using equation (5) is that by Guenancia-Paun, the condition

$$\Delta_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon \geq -C \tag{11}$$

gives us the Laplacian estimate for the smoothing of the initial metric. Namely, we smooth $\omega_{\phi(0)}$ by considering the following equation

$$\omega^n_{\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon} = \frac{e^{F_\epsilon}}{(|S|^2+\epsilon)^{1-\beta}}\omega_0^n, \ \sup_M \widehat{\phi}_\epsilon = 0. \tag{12}$$

By Yau, equation (12) admits a solution $\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon \in C^{4,\alpha'}$. By the work of Guenancia-Paun in section 5.2 of [15], Kolodziej's $L^\infty-$ estimate in [20] (also see Theorem 1.1 in [11] for a general statement), Theorem 4.1, and the condition (11), we obtain

$$|\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon|_{\alpha'} \leq C_F \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{1}{C_F}\omega_\epsilon \leq \omega_{\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon} \leq C_F \omega_\epsilon. \tag{14}$$

The proof is thus completed. □

3 Construction of the approximation flows and proofs of Theorem 1.9.

To construct the weak flow and approximate the CKRF, we first apply Theorem 2.2 to perturb the initial cone metric to $\omega_{\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon}$. Then we consider $\omega_{\widehat{\phi}_\epsilon}$ as our new initial metric and consider the following approximation flows.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_\epsilon}{\partial t} = \log \frac{\omega^n_{\bar{\phi}_\epsilon}}{\omega_0^n} + \beta\bar{\phi}_\epsilon + h + (1-\beta)\log(|S|^2+\epsilon), \ t \in [0,T]. \\ \bar{\phi}_\epsilon(0) = \widehat{\phi}_\epsilon \text{ when } t = 0. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Now we would like to change the reference metric to ω_ϵ. Writing

$$\widehat{V}_\epsilon = h + \log \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n(|S|^2+\epsilon)^{1-\beta}}{\omega_0^n} + \frac{\beta}{N}\Psi_{\epsilon,\beta},$$

we change the flow equation to the following.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t} = \log \frac{\omega^n_{\phi_\epsilon}}{\omega^n_\epsilon} + \beta\phi_\epsilon + \widehat{V}_\epsilon, \ t \in [0,T]. \\ \phi_\epsilon(0) = \widehat{\phi}_\epsilon - \frac{\beta}{N}\Psi_{\epsilon,\beta} \text{ when } t = 0. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a constant C in the sense of Definition 2.1 with the following properties. On the perturbed flow (16), the following estimates hold.*

- $|\phi_\epsilon|_{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq C$,
- $\frac{1}{C}\omega_\epsilon \leq \omega_{\phi_\epsilon} \leq C\omega_\epsilon$, $|\frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_{L^\infty} \leq C$.

Proof. of Lemma 3.1:

Step1: First we show that $|\frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_{L^\infty} \leq C$. This is directly implied by the maximal principle and the bound on $|\frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_{t=0}$. The bound on $|\frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_{t=0}$ directly follows from the properties of our approximating intial metrics. Namely from (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_{t=0} \\ = & \log \frac{\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n}(0) + \beta\phi_\epsilon(0) + \widehat{V}_\epsilon(0) \\ = & \log \frac{e^{F_\epsilon}\omega_0^n}{(|S|^2+\epsilon)^{1-\beta}\omega_\epsilon^n}(0) + \beta\phi_\epsilon(0) + \widehat{V}_\epsilon(0). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Thus by Theorem 2.2 we obtain

$$|\frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_{0,M,t=0} \leq C.$$

Therefore by maximal principle we have

$$|\frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_{0,M} \leq C. \tag{18}$$

Step 2. Now we turn to the spacewise second order estimate. By the Siu Bochner technique in [27](the reader could also see [15]) and the flow equation (16), denote

$$h_\epsilon = -\beta\phi_\epsilon - \widehat{V}_\epsilon,$$

we derive the following parabolic Siu-Bochner formula.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Delta_{\phi_\epsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \log tr_{\omega_\epsilon}\omega_{\phi_\epsilon} \\ \geq & \frac{1}{tr_{\omega_\epsilon}\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}}\{\Delta_{\omega_\epsilon}h_\epsilon + \Sigma_{i\leq l}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_l} + \frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_i} - 2)R_{i\bar{i}l\bar{l}}(w)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where w is the geodesic coordinates of ω_ϵ which diagonalize ω_{ϕ_ϵ} with respect to ω_ϵ, and λ_i are the eigenvalues of ω_{ϕ_ϵ} with respect to ω_ϵ.

We then consider the barrier function $\Psi_{\epsilon,\rho}$ (for sufficiently small ρ) of Guenancia and Paun in [15]. Namely, for the sake of a self-contained proof, we quote in the following two beautiful identities from [15] at any point p near D (which do not depend on the flow).

- Equation (13) in [15]:

$$\Delta_{\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}}\Psi_{\epsilon,\rho} \geq -Ctr_{\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}}\omega_\epsilon + C\Sigma_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(|S|^2+\epsilon)^{1-\rho}}|\frac{\partial z}{\partial w_i}|^2\frac{1}{\lambda_i}. \tag{20}$$

- Equation (21) in [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Sigma_k\lambda_k}\{\Delta_{\omega_\epsilon}h_\epsilon + \Sigma_{i\leq l}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_l}+\frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_i}-2)R_{i\bar{i}l\bar{l}}(w)\} \\ \geq & -C\Sigma_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{(|S|^2+\epsilon)^{1-\rho}}|\frac{\partial z}{\partial w_i}|^2\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \\ - & \frac{1}{\Sigma_k\lambda_k}\{\Sigma_{i\leq l}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_l}+\frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_i})\} - C. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Then, (19), (20), and (21) imply the following estimate for sufficiently big numbers A and B over the whole M.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Delta_{\phi_\epsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})\{\log tr_{\omega_\epsilon}\omega_{\phi_\epsilon} + B\Psi_{\epsilon,\rho} - A\phi_\epsilon\} \\ \geq & tr_{\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}}\omega_\epsilon + A\frac{\partial\phi_\epsilon}{\partial t} - C. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

(18) and (22) indicate that, at the interior maximum of

$$\log tr_{\omega_\epsilon}\omega_{\phi_\epsilon} + B\Psi_{\epsilon,\rho} - A\phi_\epsilon, \text{ we have } tr_{\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}}\omega_\epsilon \leq C. \tag{23}$$

In terms of the eigenvalues, we have

$$\Sigma_i\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq C. \tag{24}$$

By (18) we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \prod_k \lambda_k \leq C. \tag{25}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Sigma_i\frac{1}{\lambda_i})^{n-1} \\ \geq & \Sigma_i\frac{1}{\lambda_1..\widehat{\lambda_i},...\lambda_n} = \frac{\Sigma_i\lambda_i}{\prod_k\lambda_k} \geq C\Sigma_i\lambda_i. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Combining (26), (23), and (24), we end up with

$$\sup tr_{\omega_\epsilon}\omega_{\phi_\epsilon} \leq C. \tag{27}$$

Using (25) again, we get $\sup tr_{\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}}\omega_\epsilon < C$.

Step 3: In Step 2 we assume that $tr_{\omega_\epsilon}\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}$ attains interior maximum. On the other hand, suppose $tr_{\omega_\epsilon}\omega_{\phi_\epsilon}$ attain maximum when $t = 0$, then the second item in Theorem 2.2 implies our desired bound. Thus item 2 in Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Step 4: To prove Item 1, it suffices to use item 2 and the Harnack inequality in Theorem 4.1. Notice that we automatically have the C^0-estimate via the bound on $|\frac{\partial\phi_\epsilon}{\partial t}|_0$ and the C^0-bound on the initial potential $\phi_\epsilon(0)$.

The proof is complete.

□

Using Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.2, ϕ_ϵ sub converges to a ϕ_∞ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial\phi_\infty}{\partial t} = \log\frac{\omega_{\phi_\infty}^n}{\omega_0^n} + \beta\phi_\infty + h + (1-\beta)\log(|S|^2),\ t \in [0,T]. \\ \phi_\infty(0) = \phi(0) \text{ when } t = 0. \\ \phi_\infty \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T];\ \frac{1}{C}\omega_D \le \omega_{\phi_\infty} \le C\omega_D. \end{cases}$$

To show that the perturbation really converges back to the original conical flow, we need the following lemma on the uniqueness of the weak conical flows.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $\phi_i, i = 1, 2$ are two weak conical flows :*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial t} = \log\frac{\omega_{\phi_i}^n}{\omega_0^n} + \beta\phi_i + h + (1-\beta)\log(|S|^2) \text{ over } M\setminus D, \\ \phi_i(0) = \phi(0) \text{ when } t = 0, \\ \phi_i \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T]). \\ \frac{1}{C}\omega_D \le \omega_{\phi_i} \le C\omega_D,\ |\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial t}|_{L^\infty} \le C \text{ over } M\setminus D. \end{cases}$$

Then $\phi_1 = \phi_2$.

Proof. We again employ Jeffres' trick in the parabolic case, adapted to our setting. Consider $\widehat{\phi}_1 = \phi_1 + a|S|^{2p}$. Then we compute

$$\frac{\partial\widehat{\phi}_1}{\partial t} = \log\frac{(\omega + i\partial\bar\partial\phi_1)^n}{\omega_0^n} + \beta\widehat{\phi}_1 - a\beta|S|^{2p} + h + (1-\beta)\log(|S|^2).$$

Denote $v = \widehat{\phi}_1 - \phi_2$ and $\underline{\Delta} = \int_0^1 g^{i\bar j}_{b\phi_1+(1-b)\phi_2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i\partial\bar z_j}db$, we compute from (28) that

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \underline{\Delta}v - a\underline{\Delta}|S|^{2p} + \beta v - a\beta|S|^{2p}. \tag{28}$$

Obviously, when $p < \frac{\alpha\beta}{2}$, the spacewise maximum of $\widehat{\phi}_1$ and v are attained away from D. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i\partial\bar\partial|S|^{2p} \\ = \ & p^2|S|^{2p}\partial\log|S|^2\wedge\bar\partial\log|S|^2 + p|S|^{2p}i\partial\bar\partial\log|S|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By the 2nd-order estimate in the assumptions, we estimate

$$|g^{i\bar{j}}_{b\phi_1+(1-b)\phi_2}| \leq C, \text{ where the basis is } (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},\ i=1...n). \tag{30}$$

Then away from the divisor, $g^{i\bar{j}}_{b\phi_1+(1-b)\phi_2}$ is at least C^α. From (29) we compute over $M \setminus D$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\Delta}|S|^{2p} \\ \geq\ & p|S|^{2p} g^{i\bar{j}}_{b\phi_1+(1-b)\phi_2} \frac{\partial^2 \log |S|^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \\ \geq\ & -C|p g^{i\bar{j}}_{b\phi_1+(1-b)\phi_2} \Theta_{h,i\bar{j}}| \\ \geq\ & -C, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where Θ_h is the smooth curvature form of (L,h).

Then, by (28) and Proposition 2.23 in [26], we deduce

$$\frac{\partial \sup v}{\partial t} \leq aC + \beta \sup v, \tag{32}$$

in the sense of forward difference quotients. Using $v(0) = a|S|^{2p} \leq aC$ and Proposition 2.23 in [26]again, we obtain

$$\sup v \leq [\sup v(0) + aC]e^{\beta t} - aC \leq aCe^{\beta T}. \tag{33}$$

Thus let a tend to 0, we end up with $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$. By the same reason we have $\phi_2 \leq \phi_1$, then $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. □

Proof. of Theorem 1.9: By letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in Lemma 3.1 and flow (15), notice that our time T can be arbitrarily large, then Theorem 1.9 is a direct corollary of Lemma 3.1 and 3.2.

□

4 Local Harnack inequality.

In this section we prove Theorem 4.1 by proving the harder Theorem 4.5. Theorem 4.1 is all we need to prove the results in the introduction.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose ω is a weak conical-Kähler metric and $\frac{\omega_D}{C} \leq \omega \leq C\omega_D$, or ω is C^α over the whole M (across D) in the usual sense and $\frac{\omega_\epsilon}{C} \leq \omega \leq C\omega_\epsilon$ for some $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$.*

Suppose u is a bounded weak solution to

$$\Delta_\omega u = f \text{ over } M,$$

then there exists a $\alpha' > 0$ such that

$$[u]_{\alpha',M} \leq C(|u|_{0,M} + |f|_{0,M}).$$

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose ω_t is a (strong) conical Kähler-Ricci flow, or the perturbed smooth flow (15) over $[0,T]$. Suppose u is a bounded weak solution to*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = \Delta_{\omega_t}u + f \text{ over } M\times[0,T].$$

Then for all $\delta\in(0,T)$, there exists a $\alpha'>0$ and a $C(\delta)$ in the sense of Definition 2.1 such that

$$[u]_{\alpha',\frac{\alpha'}{2},M\times[\delta,T]}\leq C(\delta)(|u|_{0,M\times[0,T]}+|f|_{0,M\times[0,T]}).$$

Proof. of Theorem 4.2 and 4.1: Theorem 4.2 is directly implied by Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 4.6. Theorem 4.1 is implied by Theorem 4.5 directly. □

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\epsilon>0$ and any point $p\in D$, there exists a canonical polar coordinate $\imath_\epsilon$ such that*

$$\imath_\epsilon^\star\{\frac{\beta^2}{(|z|^2+\epsilon)^{1-\beta}}dz\otimes d\bar{z}\}=ds^2+a_\epsilon^2s^2d\theta^2,\ p \text{ is the origin in these coordinates,} \tag{34}$$

where a_ϵ is a smooth function of s ($s\in[0,r_0)$ for r_0 sufficiently small), and $\beta^2<a_\epsilon\leq 1$. In particular, we have

$$\beta^2\omega_{E,\epsilon}<\imath_\epsilon^\star\omega_{\beta,\epsilon}\leq\omega_{E,\epsilon}, \tag{35}$$

where $\omega_{E,\epsilon}=ds^2+s^2d\theta^2+\Sigma_{i=1}^{n-1}du_i\otimes d\bar{u}_i$ is the Euclidean metric in the coordinate $\imath_\epsilon$.

Proof. Actually the proof is quite elementary, since this fact is very important we include the full detail here. Let $\rho=|z|$. Define s as

$$\frac{ds}{d\rho}=\frac{\beta}{(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}},\ s(0)=0. \tag{36}$$

Then

$$\frac{\beta^2}{(|z|^2+\epsilon)^{1-\beta}}dz\otimes d\bar{z}=ds^2+a_\epsilon s^2d\theta^2,$$

where

$$a_\epsilon=\frac{\beta^2\rho^2}{(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{1-\beta}s^2}. \tag{37}$$

From (36) we have

$$\frac{d[(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}s]}{d\rho}=\beta+\frac{(1-\beta)\rho s}{(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}}\geq\ \beta. \tag{38}$$

Then

$$\beta\rho\leq(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}s.$$

Hence

$$a_\epsilon = \frac{\beta^2\rho^2}{(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{1-\beta}s^2} \leq 1.$$

Now we would like to study the uniform lower bound of a_ϵ. Using (38), denote $v = (\rho^2+\epsilon)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}s$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dv}{d\rho} &< \beta + \frac{(1-\beta)(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}s}{(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}} \\ &= \beta + (1-\beta)v(\rho^2+\epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &< \beta + (1-\beta)\frac{v}{\rho}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\rho}\frac{v}{\rho} &= -\frac{v}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dv}{d\rho} \\ &\leq -\frac{v}{\rho^2} + \frac{\beta}{\rho} + (1-\beta)\frac{v}{\rho^2} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\rho} - \frac{\beta v}{\rho^2} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\rho}(1-\frac{v}{\rho}).\end{aligned}$$

Denote $u = \frac{v}{\rho}$, we get

$$\frac{du}{d\rho} < \frac{\beta}{\rho}(1-u). \tag{39}$$

Furthermore, from (36) we have $u(0) = \beta$. Then simple comparison implies

$$u < 1 \text{ for all } \rho. \tag{40}$$

To be precise, if $u(\rho_0) = 1$ for some ρ_0, then take ρ_0 as the first one among those ρ of which $u(\rho) = 1$, then we deduce $\frac{du}{d\rho}(\rho_0) \geq 0$, which contradicts (39).

Then it's easy to see from (40) that

$$a_\epsilon = \frac{\beta^2}{u^2} > \beta^2.$$

□

Remark 4.4. Actually Lemma 4.3 implies the bound for the Poincare and Sobolev constants in the following sense. For any model metric ω, denote

$$E_{\omega,\lambda} = \{\omega' | r^\alpha[\omega']_{\alpha,M\setminus T_r(D)} \leq \lambda,\ \frac{\omega}{\lambda} \leq \omega' \leq \lambda\omega\}.$$

With respect to the global perturbed metric ω_ϵ, using Lemma 4.3, it's quite straight forward to show the global and local Sobolev constants $C_{S,\epsilon}, C^\star_{S,\epsilon}$ for all the metrics in $E_{\omega_\epsilon,\lambda}$ are uniformly bounded from above independent of ϵ. On the Poincare inequality, the global and local Poincare constants $C_{P,0}, C^\star_{P,0}$ of $E_{\omega_D,\lambda}$ are uniformly bounded from above. Moreover, using very simple counter-proofs based on the Rellich-Kondrachov compact-imbedding theorem, we deduce that both the local Poincare constants $C^\star_{P,\epsilon}$ and the global Poincare constants $C_{P,\epsilon}$ of $E_{\omega_\epsilon,\lambda}$ are bounded from above independent of ϵ. These are necessary for doing the Nash-Moser iteration and the proofs of the Harnack inequalities in [21] and [16].

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a constant C in the sense of Definition 2.1 with the following properties. Suppose ω_t is a time-differentiable family of Kähler metrics which is C^α away from D. Suppose*

1. *ω_t are weak conical-Kähler metrics and $\frac{\omega_D}{C} \leq \omega_t \leq C\omega_D$ for all $t \in [0,T]$, or ω_t is C^α over the whole M (across D) in the usual sense and $\frac{\omega_\epsilon}{C} \leq \omega_t \leq C\omega_\epsilon$ for all $t \in [0,T]$ and some $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$;*
2. *$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} dvol_t \leq C dvol_t$.*

Suppose u is a bounded weak solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \Delta_{\omega_t} u + f \text{ over } M \times [0,T].$$

Then for all $\delta \in (0,T)$, there exists a $\alpha' > 0$ and $C(\delta)$ such that

$$[u]_{\alpha', \frac{\alpha'}{2}, M\times[\delta,T]} \leq C(\delta)(|u|_{0,M\times[0,T]} + |f|_{0,M\times[0,T]}).$$

Proof. of Theorem 4.5: With Lemma 4.3, actually the proof is quite straight forward. The only possible problem is the Hölder estimate near D. Notice that in the coordinate $\imath_\epsilon$, ω_t is quasi-isometric to the Euclidean metric. Moreover, by [30], integration by parts holds true. Then the proof of Theorem 10.1 in section 10 of Chapter III in [21] directly goes through in $B_p(r_0)$, $p \in D$ and r_0 is sufficiently small, provided we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} dvol_t \leq C dvol_t$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Along the conical Kähler-Ricci flow over $[0,t_0]$ (t_0 as in Theorem 1.13), the scalar curvature R satisfies $R \geq -\frac{C}{t}$ over $M \setminus D$. In particular, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} dvol_t \leq (\frac{C}{t} + n\beta) dvol_t. \tag{41}$$

Moreover, along the perturbed smooth flow (15), (41) also holds.

Proof. of lemma 4.6: We have

$$\frac{\partial(R-n\beta)}{\partial t} \geq \Delta(R-n\beta) + \frac{(R-n\beta)^2}{n} + \beta(R-n\beta). \tag{42}$$

Notice the fact that $R(t) \in C^{\alpha,\beta}$ when $t > 0$ by Proposition 1.13. Jeffres' trick as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, and maximal principles, we obtain $R \geq -\frac{C}{t}$.

To prove the conclusion for the perturbed flow, we first denote

$$v_\epsilon = -\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} dvol_t}{dvol_t}.$$

By routine computation we have

$$\frac{\partial v_\epsilon}{\partial t} \geq \Delta v_\epsilon + \frac{v_\epsilon^2}{n} + \beta v_\epsilon, \tag{43}$$

where v_ϵ is a smooth function on which the maximal principle directly works. Then (41) is true for the perturbed flow (15). □

5 Bootstrapping of the conical Kähler-Ricci flow and proofs of the main results in the introduction.

In this short section, we show the solutions to the conical Kähler-Ricci flow possess maximal regularity when $t > 0$ by proving Theorem (1.13). This shows the requirements in Theorem 1.9 are satisfied when $t = \frac{t_0}{2}$ (t_0 as in Theorem 1.13).

Proof. of Theorem 1.13: Denote $v = e^{-\beta t}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$, then v satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta_\phi v. \tag{44}$$

Suppose $v \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta}(0,T]$ (Claim 5.1), using the parabolic interior Schauder estimate as in the equation (21) in [10], we obtain the following

$$|i\partial\bar{\partial} v|^{(2)}_{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta,M\times[0,t_0]} + |\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}|^{(2)}_{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta,M\times[0,t_0]} \leq C|v|_{0,M\times[0,t_0]}. \tag{45}$$

Then equation (45) directly implies Theorem 1.13, because $i\partial\bar{\partial}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = -Ric + \beta\omega$ over $M \setminus D$.

Thus it suffices to show

Claim 5.1. $v \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta}(0,T]$.

The claim is proved in a very easy way as follows. For any $\delta > 0$, choose a timewise-cutoff function $\eta(t)$ such that

$$\eta(t) = 0 \text{ when } t \leq \delta,\ \eta(t) = 1 \text{ when } t \geq 2\delta.$$

It suffices to prove $\eta v \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta}[0,T]$. First we compute

$$\frac{\partial(\eta v)}{\partial t} = \Delta_\phi(\eta v) + \eta' v. \tag{46}$$

Since $v \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta}[0,T]$, by Theorem 1.8 in [10], there exists a solution $U \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta}[0,T]$ which solves

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \Delta_\phi U + \eta' v,\ U(x,0) = 0. \tag{47}$$

Consider $W = U - \eta v$, then

$$W \in C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta}[0,T] \tag{48}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \Delta_\phi W,\ W(x,0) = 0 \text{ over } M \setminus D. \tag{49}$$

Using Jeffres's trick as in the proof of Lemma (3.2), (48) and (49) imply $W = 0$. Then $\eta v = U \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta}[0,T]$. □

Proof. of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.11:

When $t = \frac{t_0}{2}$, we define

$$F_{\frac{t_0}{2}} \triangleq \log \frac{|S|^{2-2\beta}\omega^n_{\phi_0}}{\omega^n_0} = -h + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}|_{\frac{t_0}{2}} - \beta\phi. \tag{50}$$

By Theorem 1.13, we directly get

$$F_{\frac{t_0}{2}} \in C^{2,\alpha,\beta},\ |F_{\frac{t_0}{2}}|_{2,\alpha,\beta} \leq C. \tag{51}$$

Theorem 1.9 implies the long time existence of the weak flow for all time $t \in [\frac{t_0}{2}, \infty)$. Combining the strong conical flow over $[0, \frac{t_0}{2}]$, we end up with a strong flow for all $t \in [0,\infty)$, for any $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ initial potential ϕ_0.

Lemma 3.2 implies the weak flow coincides with the strong flow given by Theorem 1.2 in [10] till whenever the strong flow exists.

By approximating the conical flow over $[\frac{a_0}{2}, T)$ by the flows (15) as in section 3, Theorem 1.11 follows from the second-order estimates in Proposition 3.1, the parabolic Evans-Krylov estimate over $M \setminus T_\delta(D)$ (as in [29]), and the arguments in Proposition 2.5 in [7]. □

References

[1] R, Berman. *A thermodynamic formalism for Monge-Ampere equations.* arXiv1011.3976.

[2] S, Brendle. *Ricci flat Kähler metrics with edge singularities.* To appear in International Mathematics Research Notices.

[3] S, Calamai; K, Zheng. *Geodesics in the space of Kähler cone metrics.* arXiv1205.0056.

[4] F, Campana; H, Guenancia; M, Paun. *Metrics with cone singularities along normal crossing divisors and holomorphic tensor fields* .

[5] H-D, Cao. *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds.* Invent.Math.81(1985), no.2, 359-372.

[6] X-X, Chen; S, Donaldson; S, Sun. *Kähler-Einstein metrics and stability.* arXiv: 1210.7494. To appear in Int. Math. Res. Not (2013).

[7] X-X, Chen; S, Donaldson; S, Sun. *Kähler-Einstein metric on Fano manifolds, I: approximation of metrics with cone singularities.* arXiv1211.4566.

[8] X-X, Chen; S, Donaldson; S, Sun. *Kähler-Einstein metric on Fano manifolds, II: limits with cone angle less than* 2π. arXiv1212.4714.

[9] X-X, Chen; S, Donaldson; S, Sun. *Kähler-Einstein metric on Fano manifolds, III: limits with cone angle approaches* 2π *and completion of the main proof.* arXiv1302.0282.

[10] X-X, Chen; Y, Wang. *Bessel functions, Heat kernel and the Conical Kähler-Ricci flow.* arXiv:1305.0255.

[11] S, Dinew; Z, Zhang. *Stability of bounded solutions for degenerate complex Monge-Ampre equations.* Adv. Math. 225 (2010), no. 1, 367–388.

[12] S, Donaldson. *Kähler metrics with cone singularities along a divisor.*

[13] L,C, Evans. *Partial differential equations.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol 19. AMS.

[14] P, Eyssidieux; V, Guedj; A, Zeriahi. *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009), 607-639.

[15] Henri Guenancia, Mihai Paun. *Conic singularities metrics with perscribed Ricci curvature: the case of general cone angles along normal crossing divisors.*

[16] D,Gilbarg; N ,S, Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer.

[17] R, Hamilton. *Three-Manifolds with Positive Ricci Curvature*. J. Diff. Geom. 1982.

[18] T, Jeffres. *Uniqueness of Kähler-Einstein cone metrics*, Publ. Math. 44 (2000).

[19] Jeffres, T; Mazzeo; R, Rubinstein. *Kähler-Einstein metrics with edge singularities*. To appear in Annals of Math.

[20] S, Kolodziej. *Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampere equation with the right-hand side in Lspp: the case of compact Khler manifolds.* Math Ann (2008), 379–386.

[21] Ladyzenskaja; Solonnikov; Ural'ceva. *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 23, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.

[22] C, Li; S, Sun. *Conical Kähler-Einstein metric revisited*. arXiv1207.5011.

[23] G, Lieberman. *Second order parabolic equations*. World Scientific, 1996.

[24] J,W, Liu; X, Zhang. *The conical Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds.* In preparation.

[25] R,Mazzeo; Y,Rubinstein; N,Sesum. *Ricci flow on surfaces with conic singularities*. arXiv:1306.6688.

[26] J, Morgan; G, Tian. *Ricci Flow and the Poincare Conjecture.* Clay Mathematics Monographs.

[27] Y,T, Siu. *Lectures on Hermitian-Einstein Metrics for Stable Bundles and Kahler-Einstein Metrics*, Birkhauser, 1987.

[28] J, Song; X, Wang. *The greatest Ricci lower bound, conical Einstein metrics and the Chern number inequality*. arXiv1207.4839.

[29] L,H, Wang. *On the regularity theory of fully nonlinear parabolic equations*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 22 (1990), no. 1, 107114.

[30] Y, Wang. *Notes on the L^2-estimates and regularity of parabolic equations over conical manifolds.* Unpublished work.

[31] C,J, Yao. *Existence of Weak Conical Kähler-Einstein Metrics Along Smooth Hypersurfaces*. arXiv:1308.4307.

[32] S-T, Yau. *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the Complex Monge Amp'ere equation I.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978).

[33] H, Yin. *Ricci flow on surfaces with conical singularities.* Journal of Geometric Analysis October 2010, Volume 20, Issue 4, pp 970-995.

[34] H, Yin. *Ricci flow on surfaces with conical singularities, II.* arXiv:1305.4355.

Yuanqi Wang, Department of Mathematics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA; wangyuanqi@math.ucsb.edu.